

REMARKS

In the Office Action, the Examiner rejected claims 1-4 and 9-11 under 35 U.S.C. § 102, objected to claims 5-7, 12 and 13 as being dependent upon a rejected base claim and allowed claim 8. The Examiner's allowance of claim 8 is gratefully acknowledged. In the above amendment, claims 1-4 and 9-11 have been canceled. Claims 5, 7, 12 and 13 have been amended to be in independent form including all base and intervening claim limitations, and are allowable. Claim 6 depends from amended claim 5 and is allowable. New claims 14-27 added in the above amendment depend from allowed claim 8 or amended claim 5, 7, 12 or 13, and are allowable.

CONCLUSION

It is submitted that the claims clearly define the invention, are supported by the specification and drawings, and are in a condition for allowance. A notice of allowance is respectfully solicited. Should the Examiner have any questions or concerns which may expedite prosecution of the present application, the Examiner is encouraged to telephone the undersigned.

Respectfully submitted,
PEYER et al.



11/4/98
Date

Please forward all correspondence to:
Motorola, Inc.
Intellectual Property Dept. (MDP)
600 North US Highway 45, AN475
Libertyville, IL 60048

Mark D. Patrick
Attorney for Applicant
Registration No. 41,243
Telephone: (847) 523-2322
Facsimile: (847) 523-2350